

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,048	06/26/2001	Harold Kutz	CYPR-CD00231 4180 EXAMINER	
7	590 10/06/2004			
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			WOO, STELLA L	
Two North Ma San Jose, CA	rket Street, Third Floor 95113		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2643	
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/893,048	KUTZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stella L. Woo	2643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap			ess			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fitte, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this commonet (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the m	nerits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ier.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 June 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO	-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	p u u u. u u. u	(=) (=) =: (:):				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
. AMaaharaan (A)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ	an/ (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mai	il Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.	5)	al Patent Application (PTO-1	52)			
. apor roopinal Date 2.						

Application/Control Number: 09/893,048

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 8-15, 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pearce et al. (US 5,973,368, hereinafter "Pearce").

Regarding claim 1-2, 11-12, 18, Pearce discloses a microcontroller chip (monolithic integrated circuit), comprising:

a processor (control logic; col. 6, lines 20-22);

an array of configurable circuit blocks (array of source cells; col. 19, lines 15-20);

an on-chip analog amplifier (monolithic audio class D amplifier 1.12 coupled to speaker 1.56; col. 12, lines 5-27).

Regarding claims 3, 13, 18, current is shut off if the current exceeds a predetermined limit (col. 7, lines 30-32).

Regarding claims 4-6, 11, 14-15, 18, Pearce teaches fabrication including a CMOS device (col. 23, lines 39-42).

Regarding claims 8, 11, 18, Pearce provides for the audio amplifier receiving signals from either a digital signal processor or an amplifier conditioning circuit (col. 14, lines 9-11).

Regarding claims 9, 17, 19, audio amplifier is directly connected to speaker 1.56 (col. 14, lines 7-9).

Application/Control Number: 09/893,048

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claims 10, 17, 20, note switch S3 controlled by logic circuit (Figure 1K).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 7, 16, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pearce in view of Billings (US 5,248,843).

Pearce differs from claims 7 and 16 in that it does not specify that the speaker 1.56 is a 32-ohm speaker. However, Billings teaches the well known use of a 32 ohm speaker which is controlled by an sound chip (col. 6, lines 1-8) such that it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill to incorporate select a 32 ohm speaker, as taught by, as the speaker which is driven by the amplifier of Pearce.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wohl shows another device which uses a 32 ohm speaker.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stella L. Woo whose telephone number is (703) 305-4395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday, Thursday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 09/893,048

Art Unit: 2643

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (703) 305-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stella L. Woo Primary Examiner Art Unit 2643